

GEOMETRICAL ORIGIN OF FERMION FAMILIES IN $SU(2) \times U(1)$ GAUGE THEORY

E. I. Guendelman ^{*} and A. B. Kaganovich [†]

Physics Department, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva 84105, Israel

A spontaneously broken $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory with just one "primordial" generation of fermions is formulated in the context of generally covariant theory which contains two measures of integration in the action: the standard $\sqrt{-g}d^4x$ and a new Φd^4x , where Φ is a density built out of degrees of freedom independent of the metric. Such type of models are known to produce a satisfactory answer to the cosmological constant problem. Global scale invariance is implemented. After SSB of scale invariance and gauge symmetry it is found that with the conditions appropriate to laboratory particle physics experiments, to each primordial fermion field corresponds three physical fermionic states. Two of them correspond to particles with different constant masses and they are identified with the first two generations of the electro-weak theory. The third fermionic states at the classical level get non-polynomial interactions which indicate the existence of fermionic condensate and fermionic mass generation.

^{*}guendel@bgumail.bgu.ac.il

[†]alexk@bgumail.bgu.ac.il

I. INTRODUCTION. One of the most perplexing questions that have arisen in the theory of elementary particles is the origin of the families (generations) of elementary fermions: electrons and quarks. Indeed, each fermion is replicated three times: instead of having one electron, we observe in addition the muon and the tau lepton; instead of one quark doublet we have three doublets of quarks. All these replications exhibit the same charge, spin, etc. but they differ in their masses.

Numerous geometrical attempts to understand the origin of three fermion families have been pursued in the context of string theory (for a review see [1]). In this paper we will follow a different geometric approach to the family problem of particle physics. Basic ideas and methods of this approach have been developed in previous papers [2–7] where the emphasis was on cosmological questions, in special the question of the cosmological constant problem. It was noticed however [7] that a natural solution to the family problem could be given along these lines as well. Here we generalize the results of the toy model [7] to the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory.

The geometric approach of Refs. [2–7] consists of using an alternative volume element Φd^4x , in addition to the standard one $\sqrt{-g}d^4x$. So a general action of the form

$$S = \int L_1 \Phi d^4x + \int L_2 \sqrt{-g} d^4x \quad (1)$$

is considered. In order that Φd^4x be an invariant volume element, it is necessary that Φ transforms as a density, i.e. just like $\sqrt{-g}$. This can be realized if we choose Φ to be the composite of 4 scalars φ_a ($a = 1, 2, 3, 4$)

$$\Phi = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_{abcd} \partial_\mu \varphi_a \partial_\nu \varphi_b \partial_\alpha \varphi_c \partial_\beta \varphi_d. \quad (2)$$

Since Φ is a total derivative, a shift of L_1 by a constant, $L_1 \rightarrow L_1 + \text{const}$, has the effect of adding to S the integral of a total derivative, which does not change equations of motion. This is why the introduction of a new volume element has consequences on the way we think about the cosmological constant problem [3,4].

In Eq. (1), L_1 and L_2 are Lagrangian which are functions of the matter fields, the metric, the connection (or spin-connection) but not of the "measure fields" φ_a . In such a case the action (1) has the infinite dimensional symmetry [4]: $\varphi_a \rightarrow \varphi_a + f_a(L_1)$, where $f_a(L_1)$ is an arbitrary function of L_1 .

It may appear at first sight strange to think that geometry (measure, connections, metric) are relevant to particle physics. This is because we are used to think that these geometrical objects can be only related to gravity. However, as we will see, the consistency condition of equations of motion determines the ratio of two measures

$$\zeta \equiv \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{-g}} \quad (3)$$

as a function of matter fields. The surprising feature of the theory is that neither Newton constant nor curvature appears in this constraint which means that the *geometrical scalar field* $\zeta(x)$ is determined by the matter fields configuration locally and straightforward (that is without gravitational interaction). As we will see, $\zeta(x)$ has a decisive influence in the determination of particle masses and in the "families birth effect". Therefore "Geometry" will be of importance, beyond what was known so far, i. e. that the geometrical objects which enter into the field theory are restricted by the metric associated to the gravitational field and possibly torsion and non-metricity [8].

II. THE MODEL. To see how the theory works, let us consider a model containing the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge structure (the color $SU(3)$ can be added without changing our results), as in the standard model with standard content of the bosonic sector (gauge vector fields $\vec{A}_\mu$ and B_μ and Higgs doublet H). But in contrast to the standard model, in our model *we start from only one family of the so called "primordial" fermionic fields*: the primordial up and down quarks U and D and the primordial electron E and neutrino N . Similar to the standard model, we will proceed with the following independent fermionic degrees of freedom:

a) one primordial left quark $SU(2)$ doublet Q_L

$$Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} U_L \\ D_L \end{pmatrix}$$

and right primordial singlets U_R and D_R ;

b) one primordial left lepton $SU(2)$ doublet L_L :

$$L_L = \begin{pmatrix} N_L \\ E_L \end{pmatrix}$$

and right primordial singlet E_R .

In addition, a dilaton field ϕ is needed in order to achieve global scale invariance [5].

According to the general prescriptions of the two measures theory, we have to start from studying the selfconsistent system of gravity and matter fields proceeding in the first order formalism. In the model including fermions in curved space-time, this means that the independent dynamical degrees of freedom are: all matter fields, vierbein e_a^μ , spin-connection ω_μ^{ab} and the measure Φ degrees of freedom, i.e. four scalar fields φ_a . We postulate that in addition to $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge symmetry, the theory is invariant under the global scale transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} e_\mu^a &\rightarrow e^{\theta/2} e_\mu^a, \quad \omega_{ab}^\mu \rightarrow \omega_{ab}^\mu, \quad \varphi_a \rightarrow \lambda_a \varphi_a \quad \text{where} \quad \Pi \lambda_a = e^{2\theta}, \\ \phi &\rightarrow \phi - \frac{M_p}{\alpha} \theta, \quad H \rightarrow H, \quad \Psi \rightarrow e^{-\theta/4} \Psi, \quad \bar{\Psi} \rightarrow e^{-\theta/4} \bar{\Psi}; \quad \theta = \text{const.} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This global scale invariance is important for cosmological applications of the theory [5–7].

The action of the model has the general structure given by Eq. (1) which is convenient to represent in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \int d^4x e^{\alpha\phi/M_p} (\Phi + b\sqrt{-g}) \left[-\frac{1}{\kappa} R(\omega, e) + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} (D_\mu H)^\dagger D_\nu H \right] - \int d^4x e^{2\alpha\phi/M_p} [\Phi V_1(H) + \sqrt{-g} V_2(H)] \\ & - \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\mu} B_{\beta\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu} \text{Tr} A_{\alpha\mu} A_{\beta\nu} \right) + \int d^4x e^{\alpha\phi/M_p} (\Phi + k\sqrt{-g}) L_{fk} \\ & - \int d^4x e^{\frac{3}{2}\alpha\phi/M_p} \left[(\Phi + h_E \sqrt{-g}) f_E \bar{L}_L H E_R + (\Phi + h_U \sqrt{-g}) f_U \bar{Q}_L \tilde{H} U_R + (\Phi + h_D \sqrt{-g}) f_D \bar{Q}_L H D_R + \text{H.c.} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The notations in (5) are the following: $g^{\mu\nu} = e_a^\mu e_b^\nu \eta^{ab}$; the scalar curvature is $R(\omega, V) = V^{a\mu} V^{b\nu} R_{\mu\nu ab}(\omega)$ where

$$R_{\mu\nu ab}(\omega) = \partial_\mu \omega_{\nu ab} + \omega_{\mu a}^c \omega_{\nu cb} - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu); \quad (6)$$

$$D_\mu H \equiv \left(\partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2} g \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu - \frac{i}{2} \tilde{g}' B_\mu \right) H; \quad (7)$$

$$L_{fk} = \frac{i}{2} \left[\bar{L}_L \not{D}^{(L)} L_L + \bar{E}_R \not{D}^{(R)} E_R + \bar{Q}_L \not{D}^{(L)} Q_L + \bar{U}_R \not{D}^{(R)} U_R + \bar{D}_R \not{D}^{(R)} D_R \right] \quad (8)$$

where

$$D^{(L)} \equiv \vec{\not{D}}_L - \overleftarrow{\not{D}}_L; \quad D^{(R)} \equiv \vec{\not{D}}_R - \overleftarrow{\not{D}}_R; \quad (9)$$

$$\vec{\not{D}}_L \equiv e_a^\mu \gamma^a \left(\vec{\partial}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \omega_\mu^{cd} \sigma_{cd} I - \frac{i}{2} g \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu + \frac{i}{2} g' B_\mu \right); \quad \overleftarrow{\not{D}}_L \equiv \left(\overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \omega_\mu^{cd} \sigma_{cd} I + \frac{i}{2} g \vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu - \frac{i}{2} g' B_\mu \right) \gamma^a e_a^\mu \quad (10)$$

where I is 2×2 unit matrix in the isospin space;

$$\vec{\not{D}}_R \equiv e_a^\mu \gamma^a \left(\vec{\partial}_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \omega_\mu^{cd} \sigma_{cd} + i g' B_\mu \right); \quad \overleftarrow{\not{D}}_R \equiv \left(\overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \omega_\mu^{cd} \sigma_{cd} - i g' B_\mu \right) \gamma^a e_a^\mu; \quad (11)$$

and finally $B_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu$, $A_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu A_\nu - A_\nu A_\mu]$ where $A_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{\tau}$.

A few explanations concerning our choice of the action (5) are in necessary:

1) In order to avoid a possibility of negative energy contribution from the space-time derivatives of the dilaton ϕ and Higgs H fields (see Ref. [7]) we have chosen the coefficient b in front of $\sqrt{-g}$ in the first integral of (5) to be a common factor of the gravitational term $-\frac{1}{\kappa} R(\omega, e)$ and of the kinetic terms for ϕ and H . This guarantees that this item can not be an origin of ghosts in quantum theory.

2) For the same reasons we choose the kinetic terms of the gauge bosons in the conformal invariant form which is possible only if these terms are coupled to the measure $\sqrt{-g}$. Introducing the coupling of these terms to the measure Φ would lead to the nonlinear equations and non positivity of the energy.

3) For simplicity, we have taken the coupling of the kinetic terms of the fermions to the measures to be universal (see the forth integral in Eq.(5)).

Except for these three items, Eq.(5) describes the most general action of the two measures theory satisfying the formulated above symmetries. For example, one can introduce two different Higgs potentials $V_1(H)$ and $V_2(H)$ coupled

to the measures Φ and $\sqrt{-g}$ respectively. For the same reason there are two different sets of Yukawa coupling of the fermions to Higgs field. Such general structure of the action has a crucial role since as we will see, it provides a very specific nonlinear equation (constraint) determining the scalar field ζ , Eq. (3). The multiple solutions of the nonlinear equation will be shown to be associated to the "families birth effect".

III. CLASSICAL EQUATIONS OF MOTION. After SSB of scale and gauge symmetries, proceeding in the unitary gauge, the Higgs field can be represented in the standard form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + \chi) \end{pmatrix}$$

We will see later how in this theory the vacuum expectation value (VEV) v is determined by an effective potential which is a very special function of $V_1(H)$, $V_2(H)$ and the dilaton ϕ .

Varying the measure fields φ_a , we get

$$A_a^\mu \partial_\mu L_1 = 0 \quad (12)$$

where L_1 is defined, according to Eq. (1), as the part of the integrand of the action (5) coupled to the measure Φ and

$$A_a^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_{abcd} \partial_\nu \varphi_b \partial_\alpha \varphi_c \partial_\beta \varphi_d. \quad (13)$$

Since $\text{Det}(A_a^\mu) = \frac{4^{-4}}{4!} \Phi^3$ it follows that if $\Phi \neq 0$,

$$L_1 = sM^4 = \text{const} \quad (14)$$

where $s = \pm 1$ and M is a constant of integration of the dimension of mass. It can be noticed that the appearance of a nonzero integration constant M^4 spontaneously breaks the scale invariance (4).

Complete system of equations corresponding to the action (5) is very bulky. Variation of S with respect to vierbein e_a^μ yields the gravitational equation linear both in the curvature and in the scalar field ζ , defined by Eq. (3). Contracting this equation with e_a^μ , solving for the curvature scalar R and replacing in Eq. (14) we obtain the following consistency condition of the theory:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\zeta - b) \left[sM^4 e^{-\alpha\phi/M_p} + V_1(v + \chi) e^{\alpha\phi/M_p} - L_{fk} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + \chi) e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha\phi/M_p} (f_E \bar{E}E + f_U \bar{U}U + f_D \bar{D}D) \right] \\ & + 2V_2(v + \chi) e^{\alpha\phi/M_p} + \frac{1}{2}(\zeta - 3k)L_{fk} + \sqrt{2}(v + \chi) e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha\phi/M_p} (f_E h_E \bar{E}E + f_U h_U \bar{U}U + f_D h_D \bar{D}D) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where L_{fk} is defined by Eq. (8). Making use of equations of motion for all the fermionic fields, it is easy to check that the following relation is true

$$L_{fk} = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha\phi/M_p}}{\zeta + k} \left[(\zeta + h_E) f_E \bar{L}_L H e_R + (\zeta + h_U) f_U \bar{Q}_L \tilde{H} U_R + (\zeta + h_D) f_D \bar{Q}_L H D_R + H.c. \right] \quad (16)$$

Due to this relation, the consistency condition (15) becomes a constraint having a fundamental role for the theory.

In order to get the physical content of the theory it is required to express it in terms of variables where all equations of motion acquire a canonical form in an Einstein-Cartan space-time (for detail see Ref. [4]). This is possible after performing the following redefinitions of the vierbein (and metric) and all fermion fields (we are using here Ψ as a common notation for all primordial fermions):

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{\alpha\phi/M_p} (\zeta + b) g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \tilde{e}_{a\mu} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha\phi/M_p} (\zeta + b)^{1/2} e_{a\mu}, \quad \Psi' = e^{-\frac{1}{4}\alpha\phi/M_p} \frac{(\zeta + k)^{1/2}}{(\zeta + b)^{3/4}} \Psi. \quad (17)$$

With these variables, the spin-connections become those of the Einstein-Cartan space-time. Since $\tilde{e}_{a\mu}$ and Ψ' are invariant under the scale transformations (4), spontaneous breaking of the scale symmetry (4) (by means of Eq. (14)) is reduced in the new variables to the spontaneous breaking of the shift symmetry $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \text{const}$ for the dilaton field.

One can check that equations of motion for the gauge fields in the new variables are canonical and after the Higgs develops VEV, the gauge bosons mass generation is standard, that is exactly the same as it is in the Weinberg-Salam electroweak theory: photon, $W^\pm$ and Z bosons as well as the Weinberg angle appear as the result of the standard procedure of the Weinberg-Salam theory.

The gravitational equations of motion in the new variables take the form

$$G_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}) = \frac{\kappa}{2} T_{\mu\nu}^{eff} \quad (18)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{eff} = \phi_{,\mu}\phi_{,\nu} - K_\phi \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + \chi_{,\mu}\chi_{,\nu} - K_\chi \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} V_{eff} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(gauge,can)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(ferm,can)} - \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} [F_E(\zeta, v + \chi) \overline{E'} E' + F_U(\zeta, v + \chi) \overline{U'} U' + F_D(\zeta, v + \chi) \overline{D'} D'], \quad (19)$$

Here $G_{\mu\nu}(\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta})$ is the Einstein tensor in the Riemannian (or, more exactly, Einstein-Cartan) space-time with metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$; $K_\phi \equiv \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \phi_{,\alpha} \phi_{,\beta}$; $K_\chi \equiv \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \chi_{,\alpha} \chi_{,\beta}$; $T_{\mu\nu}^{(gauge,can)}$ is the canonical energy momentum tensor for gauge bosons, including mass terms of $W^\pm$ and Z bosons. $T_{\mu\nu}^{(ferm,can)}$ is the canonical energy momentum tensor for (primordial) fermions E' , U' and D' in curved space-time [9] including also their standard electromagnetic and weak interactions with gauge bosons. Functions V_{eff} and $F_i(\zeta, v + \chi)$ ($i = E', U', D'$) are defined by equations

$$V_{eff} = \frac{b(sM^4 e^{-2\alpha\phi/M_p} + V_1) - V_2}{(\zeta + b)^2} \quad (20)$$

$$F_i(\zeta, v + \chi) \equiv \frac{(v + \chi) f_i}{2\sqrt{2}(\zeta + k)^2(\zeta + b)^{1/2}} [\zeta^2 + (3h_i - k)\zeta + 2b(h_i - k) + kh_i], \quad i = E', U', D'. \quad (21)$$

The scalar field ζ in the above equations is defined by the constraint determined by means of Eqs. (15) and (16). In the new variables (17) this constraint takes the form

$$(\zeta - b) \left[sM^4 e^{-2\alpha\phi/M_p} + V_1(v + \chi) \right] + 2V_2(v + \chi) + (\zeta + b)^2 [F_E \overline{E'} E' + F_U \overline{U'} U' + F_D \overline{D'} D'] = 0. \quad (22)$$

The dilaton ϕ and Higgs χ field equations in the new variables are the following

$$\square\phi - \frac{\alpha}{M_p(\zeta + b)} \left[sM^4 e^{-2\alpha\phi/M_p} - \frac{(\zeta - b)V_1 + 2V_2}{\zeta + b} \right] = -\frac{\alpha}{M_p} [F_E \overline{E'} E' + F_U \overline{U'} U' + F_D \overline{D'} D'], \quad (23)$$

$$\square\chi + \frac{\zeta V'_1 + V'_2}{(\zeta + b)^2} = -\frac{1}{(\zeta + b)^{1/2}(\zeta + k)} [f_E(\zeta + h_E) \overline{E'} E' + f_U(\zeta + h_U) \overline{U'} U' + f_D(\zeta + h_D) \overline{D'} D'], \quad (24)$$

where $\square\phi = (-\tilde{g})^{-1/2} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \phi)$ and similarly for $\square\chi$.

Equations for the primordial fermions E' , U' and D' in terms of the variables (17) take the standard form of fermionic equations in the Einstein-Cartan space-time [10] where the standard interactions to the gauge fields present also. All the novelty consists of the form of the ζ depending "masses" $m_i(\zeta)$ of the primordial fermions:

$$m_i(\zeta) = \frac{f_i v(\zeta + h_i)}{\sqrt{2}(\zeta + k)(\zeta + b)^{1/2}} \quad i = E', U', D'. \quad (25)$$

IV. VACUUM AND FAMILIES BIRTH EFFECT. Let us consider the following two limiting cases:

(i) **In the absence of massive fermions**, solving ζ from the constraint (22)

$$\frac{1}{\zeta + b} = \frac{sM^4 e^{-2\alpha\phi/M_p} + V_1}{2[b(sM^4 e^{-2\alpha\phi/M_p} + V_1(v + \chi)) - V_2(v + \chi)]} \quad (26)$$

one can check that in this case the dilaton and Higgs fields equations (23) and (24) take the form of the canonical scalar fields equations with the effective potential

$$V_{eff}(\phi, v + \chi) = \frac{[sM^4 e^{-2\alpha\phi/M_p} + V_1(v + \chi)]^2}{4[b(sM^4 e^{-2\alpha\phi/M_p} + V_1(v + \chi)) - V_2(v + \chi)]} \quad (27)$$

From this we immediately conclude that the stable vacuum of the scalar fields ($\langle \phi \rangle \equiv \bar{\phi}$ and v) is realized as a manifold determined by the equation

$$sM^4 e^{-2\alpha\bar{\phi}/M_p} + V_1(v) = 0 \quad (28)$$

provided that $V_2(v) < 0$ in this degenerate vacuum. The masses of the dilaton and Higgs fields excitations above this degenerate vacuum are respectively

$$m_{dilaton}^2 = \frac{\alpha^2 M^8}{M_p^2 |V_2(v)|} e^{-4\alpha\bar{\phi}/M_p}; \quad m_{higgs}^2 = \frac{(V_1'(v))^2}{|V_2(v)|}. \quad (29)$$

Notice that we did not assume any specific properties of V_1 and V_2 so far. If we wish to provide conditions for a big Higgs mass we see from the second equation in (29) that there is no need for big "pre-potentials" $V_1(v)$ and $V_2(v)$ but rather they both can be small as compared to a typical energy scale of particle physics, however $V_2(v)$ must be very small.

An important feature of the degenerate vacuum (28) is that the effective vacuum energy density of the scalar fields is equal to zero without any sort of fine tuning regardless of the detailed shape of the potentials V_1 and V_2 as well as of the initial conditions. This fact has been very extensively explored as a way to solve the cosmological constant problem [3,4]. In this paper, however, we will concentrate our attention on the applications of the theory to particle physics.

Notice that according to Eq. (26), $\zeta = \infty$ in the degenerate vacuum (28). However, in the presence of any small "contamination" by massive fermions, it follows from the constraint (22) that ζ is large but finite. Therefore we must return to the general form of the effective potential (20) which will be small but non zero. This means that zero vacuum energy is practically unachievable, and there must be a correlation between the fermion content of the universe and the vacuum energy.

(ii) **Case where fermion densities are of the typical laboratory particle physics scales.** Assuming as it was done before that $M^4 e^{-2\alpha\bar{\phi}/M_p}$, V_1 and V_2 are small as compared to the typical particle physics energy densities of fermions¹, we see from the constraint (22) that now there are no reasons for ζ to be large. On the contrary, it has to be of the same order as the dimensionless parameters of the theory (b , k and h_i) which we assume are of order one. So, for the case when fermion densities are of the typical laboratory particle physics scales, ζ has to satisfy the simplified form of the constraint(22):

$$(\zeta + b)[F_E(\zeta)\bar{E}'E' + F_U\bar{U}'U' + F_D\bar{D}'D'] = 0. \quad (30)$$

To see the meaning of the constraint in this case, let us take one single primordial fermionic state: or E' , or U' , or D' . Then we have three solutions for each of $\zeta^{(i)}$, ($i = E', U', D'$): two constant solutions are defined by the condition $F_i(\zeta) = 0$, i.e.

$$\zeta_{1,2}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[k - 3h_i \pm \sqrt{(k - 3h_i)^2 + 8b(k - h_i) - 4kh_i} \right], \quad i = E', U', D' \quad (31)$$

and the third solution $\zeta + b = 0$.

The first two solutions correspond to two different states of the i 's primordial fermion with **different constant masses** determined by Eq.(25) where we have to substitute $\zeta_{1,2}^{(i)}$ instead of ζ . These two states can be identified with the first two generations of the physical leptons and quarks. Surprisingly (see also [7]) that the same combination that we see in the l.h.s. of the constraint (30) appears in the last terms of Eqs. (23) and (19) (we assume here that $\zeta + b \neq 0$). Therefore, in the regime where the regular fermionic matter (i.e. u and d quarks, e^- and ν_e) dominates, the last terms of Eqs. (23) and (19) *automatically* vanish. In Eq. (23), this means that *the fermion densities are not a source for the dilaton and thus the long-range forces disappear automatically*. Notice that there is no need to require no interactions of the dilaton with fermionic matter at all to have agreement with observations but it is rather enough that these interactions vanish in the appropriate regime where regular fermionic matter has the typical laboratory particle physics density. In Eq. (19), the condition (30) means that in the region where the regular fermionic matter dominates, the fermion energy-momentum tensor becomes equal to the canonical energy-momentum tensor of fermion fields in GR (see also Ref. [7]).

The third solution $\zeta + b = 0$ is singular one as we see from equations of motion. This means that one can not neglect the first two terms in the constraint (22). Then instead of $\zeta + b = 0$ we have to take the solution $\zeta + b \approx 0$ by solving $\zeta + b$ in terms of the dilaton and Higgs fields and the primordial fermionic fields themselves.

¹In a recent paper [7] we studied a simplified model without the Higgs field and in that case we had only to assume that fermion mass term $m\bar{\Psi}'\Psi'$ is much larger than $M^4 e^{-2\alpha\bar{\phi}/M_p}$.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta_3 + b}} \approx \left[\frac{v [f_E(b - h_E) \overline{E}' E' + f_U(b - h_U) \overline{U}' U' + f_D(b - h_D) \overline{D}' D']}{4\sqrt{2}(b - k) [b (sM^4 e^{-2\alpha\phi/M_p} + V_1) - V_2]} \right]^{1/3} \quad (32)$$

This leads to non-polynomial fermion interactions. A full treatment of the third family requires the study of quantum corrections and fermion condensates which will give the third family appropriate masses.

V. DISCUSSION and CONCLUSIONS. In this letter we have seen that a generally covariant theory where we allow two measures of integration, $\sqrt{-g}$ and the density Φ defined by Eq. (2), yields a natural geometric explanation of the origin of the fermion families in particle physics. Although we have seen this in the context of an $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory, the conclusions remain unchanged if the $SU(3)$ color gauge group is also added.

Three fermion families are identified in the high fermion density approximation, i.e. when energy densities of fermions are much bigger than the scalar fields contributions to the constraint (22). Two of these fermion families have constant particle masses (since ζ is a constant) while for the third family a non-polynomial fermion interactions appear. Interestingly enough that the effective coupling constants of these non-polynomial interactions are dimensionless, which suggests that the quantum corrections of this theory may be meaningful.

This and other aspects of the quantization of this theory require further study. Among the most interesting aspects of the quantum theory which should be studied are the quantization of the measure fields φ_a . In fact, we expect the "families birth effect" to be closely related to the functional integration over those measure fields. There we expect that functional integration will be restricted by the configurations dictated by the constraint (22). The integration over the φ_a fields should contain an integration over ζ and integrations over volume preserving variables (i.e. those that preserve the value of Φ). At each point, the integration over ζ selects then the values where the constraint is satisfied and for the fermion densities corresponding to laboratory conditions, three possible values of ζ are then selected. We hope to give more details concerning these quantum aspects of the theory in a future publication.

Finally, it is important to notice that the theory explained here allows for transitions from a certain family to another. One can indeed notice from the constraint itself that distinct constant values $\zeta_{1,2}^i$, Eq.(31), corresponding to different quark families are obtained (again, for the quark densities corresponding to laboratory conditions) only for pure states of primordial quarks (either U' or D'). For the case when the wave functions of massive primordial quarks overlap at some space-time region, then, for very specific values of the ratios of the quarks densities some of the three solutions of eq. (30) can coincide. We may call these points "unification points". Since the distinction between families depends on our ability to differentiate between the three different solutions of (30), we see that such differentiation loses meaning at one of the "unification points" where two, originally different values of ζ , describing two different families converge to the same value. At this point the two families get "confused". Therefore, once one reaches some of these unification points, it is clear that transitions from family to family (or what is the same, the jump from one solution of eq. (30) to another branch of eq. (30)) are possible. The calculation of the amplitudes of these transitions appear to be technically complicated but are in principle calculable. Therefore the parameters of the Kobayashi-Maskawa mass matrix should be indeed calculable as a function of the parameters of the theory.

In this paper we have ignored the question of a possible neutrino mass. There is no problem to incorporate a neutrino mass in our formalism. In fact, if we start with a single primordial neutrino field with a mass term², we will again find that for pure neutrino state in laboratory conditions there are three possible values of ζ , which give then three possible values for the neutrino mass, i.e. different neutrino states. In this case the physics of neutrino mixing will have some resemblance to the situation with quarks. It would be very important to see how the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations could appear in the context of this theory.

As we have argued, the theory appears to provide a new way to address the cosmological constant problem in a manner similar to what we discussed in Refs. [3,4]. While the vacuum in the absence of massive fermions is at zero cosmological constant, any fermion "contamination" does not allow the vacuum with zero cosmological constant to be achieved. It appears then that how much vacuum energy differs from zero, is correlated with how much fermions are in the universe. This correlation might be a possible mechanism for the explanation of the "cosmic coincidence" problem [11].

Finally, we want to attract attention to a very interesting effect: when densities of the first two fermion families correspond to normal laboratory conditions, their interactions with the dilaton disappear automatically (see also [7]).

Acknowledgments. We are very grateful to A. Davidson and V.V. Kiselev for useful discussions.

²it does not matter how this is achieved: Majorana or Dirac (which has right-handed neutrino and requires Higgs Yukawa coupling in the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory).

-
- [1] Z. Kakushadze, G. Shiu, S.-H. Tye and Y. Vtorov-Karevsky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13**, 2551 (1998).
- [2] E.I. Guendelman and A.B. Kaganovich, Phys. Rev. **D53**, 7020 (1996). Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12**, 2421 (1997); Phys. Rev. **D55**, 5970 (1997).
- [3] E.I. Guendelman and A.B. Kaganovich, Phys. Rev.**D56**, 3548 (1997) ; Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12**, 2421 (1997); Phys. Rev. **D55**, 5970 (1997); ibid. **D57**, 7200 (1998); Mod. Phys. Lett. **A13**, 1583 (1998)
- [4] E.I. Guendelman and A.B. Kaganovich, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 065004 (1999).
- [5] E.I. Guendelman, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A14**, 1043 (1999); Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 361 (2000); gr-qc/0004011; Mod. Phys. Lett. **A14**, 1397 (1999); gr-qc/9901067; hep-th/0106085; Found. Phys. **31**, 1019 (2001).
- [6] A.B. Kaganovich, Phys. Rev.**D63**, 025022 (2001).
- [7] E.I. Guendelman and A.B. Kaganovich, "SSB of scale symmetry, fermion families and quintessence without the long-range force problem", hep-th/0110040; to appear in Int. J. Mod. Phys..
- [8] F. Hehl, J. McCrea, E. Mielke and Y. Neeman, Phys. Rept. **258**, 1 (1995).
- [9] N.D. Birrell and P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space* (Cambridge University Press, 1982).
- [10] F.H. Hehl and B.K.Datta, J.Math.Phys. **12**, 1334 (1971); V. de Sabbata and M. Gasperini, *Introduction to Gravity* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985).
- [11] See for example: P. Steinhardt, L Wang and I. Zlatev, Phys. Rev. **D59**, 123504 (1999); I. Zlatev and P. Steinhardt, Physics Letters **B459**, 570 (1999).